

IPCB Illinois Pollution Control Board

GOVERNOR

Bruce Rauner

•

CHAIRMAN

Katie Papadimitriu

•

MEMBERS

Brenda Carter

Gerald Keenan

Cynthia Santos

Carrie Zalewski

lacktriangle

CHICAGO OFFICE

James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Suite 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601-3233

> Main: 312-814-3620 FAX: 312-814-3669 TTY: 866-323-1677

> > lacktriangle

SPRINGFIELD OFFICE

1021 N. Grand Ave. East P.O. Box 19274 Springfield, IL 62794-9274

Main: 217-524-8500 FAX: 217-524-8508

•

WEB SITE

www.ipcb.state.il.us

October 19, 2017

Sean McCarthy, Director Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity 100 W. Randolph St., Suite 3-400 Chicago, Illinois 60601

STATE

Re: Request for Economic Impact Study for <u>In the Matter of: Amendments to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 225.233, Multi-Pollutant Standard (MPS)</u>, Board Docket R18-20

Dear Director McCarthy:

I write to request that the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity conduct an economic impact study of the rulemaking proposal cited above. On October 2, 2017, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) filed a rulemaking proposing amendments to the Multi-Pollutant Standard (MPS) in 35 Ill. Adm. Code 225, Control of Emissions from Large Combustion Sources. The MPS applies to coal-fired electrical generating units in central and southern Illinois, specifically, in the Counties of Fulton, Jasper, Mason, Massac, Montgomery, Peoria, Putnam, and Randolph. IEPA states that Dynegy approached it in November 2016 asking that the MPS be modified to consolidate the Dynegy and Ameren MPS Groups into a single MPS Group. IEPA maintains that combined allowable emissions would be lower under the proposed rules than under the current rules.

For the reasons below, we would appreciate your response to this request no later than December 10, 2017.

Section 27(b) of the Environmental Protection Act requires the Board to:

(1) request that the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity conduct a study of the economic impact of the proposed rules. The Department may within 30 to 45 days of such request produce a study of the economic impact of the proposed rules. At a minimum, the economic impact study shall address:

- (A) economic, environmental, and public health benefits that may be achieved through compliance with the proposed rules,
- (B) the effects of the proposed rules on employment levels, commercial productivity, the economic growth of small businesses with 100 or less employees, and the State's overall economy, and
- (C) the cost per unit of pollution reduced and the variability in cost based on the size of the facility and the percentage of company revenues expected to be used to implement the proposed rules; and
- (2) conduct at least one public hearing on the economic impact of those new rules. At least 20 days before the hearing, the Board shall notify the public of the hearing and make the economic impact study, or the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity's explanation for not producing an economic impact study, available to the public. Such public hearing may be held simultaneously or as a part of any Board hearing considering such new rules. 415 ILCS 5/27(b) (2016).

Although there is no decision deadline in this rulemaking, in the interest of administrative economy, the Board would like to combine the hearing required by Section 27(b) with a hearing on the substantive merits of IEPA's proposal. Under these circumstances, the Board respectfully asks that you determine whether the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity will conduct an economic impact study on the proposal and respond no later than December 10, 2017. If I or my staff can provide any additional information, please let me know.

Thank you in advance for your prompt response.

Sincerely,

Katie Papadimitriu, Chairman Pollution Control Board

Katte Papashw

Tollation Control Doard

cc: Don A. Brown, Clerk of the Board